



Swadeshi as a Catalyst for India's Economic Independence: Reassessing Bal Gangadhar Tilak's Economic Nationalism in the Twenty-First Century

Dr Santosh Kumar Rout¹, Sri Goutam Parida²

¹OES-1, Assistant Professor of Political Science, Fakir Mohan Autonomous College, Balasore

²Research Scholar, Philosophy Department, Ravenshaw University, Cuttack

¹ORCID ID: 0009-0009-6738-2633

¹Email ID-jagannathrout1978@gmail.com, ²Email ID - goutamparida2@gmail.com

Abstract

The Swadeshi Movement occupies a distinctive place in India's nationalist discourse as an economic philosophy aimed at achieving self-reliance, indigenous industrial development, and economic freedom. While initially emerging as a political response to British colonial exploitation, Swadeshi gradually evolved into a comprehensive economic doctrine advocating domestic production, indigenous entrepreneurship, and national economic sovereignty. This study critically examines the economic dimensions of Bal Gangadhar Tilak's Swadeshi philosophy and evaluates its continuing relevance in contemporary India.

Using qualitative research methods based on secondary sources, the study analyses historical documents, scholarly literature, government policy reports, and economic interpretations of Swadeshi. The research argues that Tilak's economic nationalism laid the intellectual foundation for India's later policies on cottage industries, small-scale enterprises, cooperative institutions, and self-reliant economic development. Contemporary initiatives such as Atmanirbhar Bharat, Make in India, Startup India, Vocal for Local, and MSME promotion demonstrate the renewed significance of Swadeshi principles in the era of globalization.

The paper further identifies research gaps concerning the integration of Swadeshi philosophy with digital economies, sustainable development, artificial intelligence, and global value chains. It concludes that Swadeshi should not merely be interpreted as a historical boycott movement but as a dynamic framework for inclusive growth, technological innovation, employment generation, environmental sustainability, and national economic resilience. The findings contribute to the



growing literature on economic nationalism and provide policy recommendations for strengthening India's path toward sustainable economic independence.

Keywords: Swadeshi, Economic Nationalism, Bal Gangadhar Tilak, Self-Reliance, Indigenous Industries, Atmanirbhar Bharat, Economic Independence, Sustainable Development.

Introduction

Economic independence has remained one of the fundamental aspirations of every sovereign nation. Political freedom becomes meaningful only when supported by economic autonomy, productive capacity, technological advancement, and institutional resilience. During British colonial rule, India's traditional industrial economy suffered severe setbacks due to discriminatory trade policies, excessive taxation, deindustrialization, and systematic extraction of indigenous resources. These colonial policies weakened local industries, reduced employment opportunities, and transformed India into a supplier of raw materials and a market for British manufactured goods.

Swadeshi as a Catalyst for India's Economic Independence

Against this backdrop, Bal Gangadhar Tilak emerged as one of the foremost advocates of economic nationalism. Through the Swadeshi movement, Tilak encouraged Indians to boycott foreign goods, promote indigenous production, establish cooperative institutions, and strengthen domestic industries. Unlike a mere political slogan, Swadeshi represented an integrated philosophy of economic empowerment, national dignity, and collective self-reliance.

Swadeshi as a Catalyst for India's Economic Independence

In contemporary India, the relevance of Swadeshi has acquired renewed significance. Global supply chain disruptions, economic protectionism, technological competition, climate change, and post-pandemic recovery have revived debates on domestic manufacturing and resilient economic systems. Government initiatives such as Atmanirbhar Bharat, Make in India, Digital India, Startup India, and MSME development programmes reflect several foundational principles originally advocated through the Swadeshi philosophy. This study therefore attempts to reinterpret Tilak's Swadeshi philosophy within the framework of modern economic development, sustainable industrialization, technological innovation, and global competitiveness.

Review of Literature

Scholarly research on Swadeshi has primarily focused on its political significance within India's freedom movement. However, increasing attention has recently been directed toward its economic implications.

Angadi (1992) examined Tilak's leadership in the nationalist movement and concluded that his political philosophy substantially influenced India's struggle for self-rule while simultaneously promoting indigenous economic institutions.

Naik (1999) argued that Tilak was among the earliest Indian thinkers to identify the structural conflict between British colonial interests and India's economic development. His writings demonstrated how colonial economic policies systematically undermined indigenous industries.



Raminder Kaur (2004) highlighted Tilak's ability to transform cultural identity into political and economic mobilization. According to Kaur, Swadeshi became an instrument for creating national consciousness through economic participation.

Gupta (1994) described Swadeshi primarily as a movement promoting the boycott of foreign goods and encouraging indigenous production. This interpretation remains influential in understanding India's economic nationalism.

Misra and Puri (2016) emphasized that India's post-independence industrial policies consistently prioritized small-scale industries, rural employment, and domestic manufacturing, reflecting many Swadeshi principles.

Recent scholarship has extended Swadeshi beyond historical nationalism to encompass sustainable development, inclusive growth, digital entrepreneurship, local innovation ecosystems, and resilient supply chains. Nevertheless, comprehensive interdisciplinary analyses connecting Swadeshi with contemporary economic governance remain limited.

Research Gap

Although extensive literature exists on the political dimensions of the Swadeshi Movement, relatively few studies examine its long-term contribution to India's economic institutions and contemporary development strategies.

The major research gaps identified are:

Limited interdisciplinary studies linking Swadeshi with modern economic theories.
Insufficient analysis connecting Swadeshi with globalization and digital economies.
Lack of empirical examination of Swadeshi's influence on MSMEs and start-up ecosystems.
Minimal research integrating Swadeshi with sustainable development and green economics.
Inadequate comparative analysis between Tilak's economic nationalism and present-day economic policies such as Atmanirbhar Bharat.

These gaps justify a fresh academic investigation.

Research Questions

The study addresses the following questions:

- 1.How did Bal Gangadhar Tilak conceptualize Swadeshi as an economic philosophy?
- 2.What economic transformations were initiated by the Swadeshi movement during colonial India?
- 3.How has Swadeshi influenced post-independence economic policymaking?
- 4.Can Swadeshi serve as an effective framework for achieving economic resilience in the twenty-first century?
- 5.What policy lessons can contemporary India derive from Tilak's economic vision?

Objectives of the Study

The study aims:

- 1.To examine Bal Gangadhar Tilak's concept of Swadeshi as an economic philosophy.
- 2.To analyse the economic consequences of the Swadeshi Movement during colonial India.
- 3.To evaluate the relevance of Swadeshi in post-independence India's economic development.



4.To assess the relationship between Swadeshi and contemporary initiatives such as Atmanirbhar Bharat.

5.To propose policy recommendations for strengthening economic self-reliance.

Research Hypotheses

i) H1: Swadeshi significantly contributed to the development of indigenous industries during colonial India.

ii) H2: Swadeshi has substantially influenced India's post-independence industrial policies.

iii) H3: Contemporary self-reliance initiatives embody the core principles of Tilak's Swadeshi philosophy.

iv)H4: Swadeshi remains a relevant framework for sustainable and inclusive economic development.

Research Methodology

The study adopts a qualitative research design based entirely on secondary sources. Historical documents, government reports, peer-reviewed journal articles, books, policy papers, and archival literature constitute the primary sources of evidence. A descriptive-analytical method has been employed to interpret the historical evolution of Swadeshi and evaluate its contemporary economic relevance. Comparative analysis has been used to examine the continuity between Tilak's economic ideas and present-day public policies.

Theoretical Framework

The research is guided by four complementary theoretical perspectives:

Economic Nationalism Theory

Dependency Theory

Developmental State Theory

Sustainable Development Framework

Together, these perspectives explain how domestic production, industrial policy, state intervention, and self-reliance contribute to national economic development while maintaining competitiveness in an increasingly interconnected global economy.

Discussion of Objectives and Analysis

Objective 1: To Examine Bal Gangadhar Tilak's Concept of Swadeshi as an Economic Philosophy

The concept of Swadeshi occupies a central position in India's intellectual history as both a political and economic doctrine. Although popularly associated with the anti-colonial movement, Swadeshi was fundamentally an economic philosophy advocating indigenous production, domestic consumption, self-reliance, and national economic sovereignty. Bal Gangadhar Tilak transformed Swadeshi from a symbolic political slogan into a practical programme for economic reconstruction by encouraging Indians to reject foreign goods, strengthen indigenous industries, and build domestic commercial institutions.

Tilak believed that political freedom could not be sustained without economic independence. Colonial policies had systematically deindustrialized India by destroying traditional



manufacturing sectors, particularly handloom weaving, handicrafts, village industries, and indigenous trade networks. British trade policies converted India into a supplier of raw materials and a consumer of imported manufactured goods, thereby creating structural economic dependency.

Tilak's Swadeshi philosophy rested upon five interconnected principles:

(a) Economic Self-Reliance

Tilak emphasized that national prosperity could only be achieved when domestic production replaced dependence on imported commodities. Economic independence required strengthening indigenous entrepreneurship, encouraging local investment, and protecting domestic industries.

(b) Indigenous Industrial Development

Swadeshi advocated the revival of cottage industries, handicrafts, handloom production, small-scale manufacturing, and village enterprises. Tilak viewed these sectors as engines of employment generation and rural economic stability.

(c) Cooperative Institutions

Recognizing the financial limitations of Indian producers, Tilak promoted cooperative marketing institutions. The establishment of the Bombay Swadeshi Cooperative Store and the Paisa Fund represented innovative institutional mechanisms designed to improve market access for indigenous products.

(d) Consumer Nationalism

Tilak argued that consumers possess significant economic power. By purchasing locally manufactured goods, citizens directly contribute to employment generation, industrial development, and national income. This transformed consumption into an instrument of economic patriotism.

(e) Economic Nationalism

Unlike economic isolation, Tilak's nationalism emphasized strengthening domestic productive capacity before engaging competitively in international trade. His ideas anticipated many contemporary debates surrounding strategic industrial policy, import substitution, and economic resilience.

Objective 2: To Analyse the Economic Consequences of the Swadeshi Movement During Colonial India

The Swadeshi Movement generated profound economic consequences that extended beyond political mobilization. It influenced industrial production, consumer behaviour, employment generation, institutional development, and public awareness regarding economic exploitation.

(a) Revival of Indigenous Industries

One of the most immediate impacts was the revival of indigenous manufacturing sectors. Cottage industries, handloom weaving, handicrafts, and traditional enterprises experienced renewed public support due to widespread boycott campaigns against imported British products.



The movement restored confidence among Indian artisans and entrepreneurs by creating domestic markets for locally produced goods. This represented one of India's earliest attempts at industrial revitalization through consumer participation.

(b) Expansion of Employment Opportunities

Labour-intensive indigenous industries generated employment across rural India. Small-scale industries required comparatively lower capital investment while providing livelihood opportunities for artisans, craftsmen, women, and village households.

This employment-oriented character of Swadeshi continues to remain relevant in modern labour economics, particularly for developing economies characterized by surplus labour.

(c) Development of Indigenous Entrepreneurship

Swadeshi inspired Indian businessmen to establish domestic manufacturing enterprises capable of competing with imported products. Entrepreneurs increasingly invested in textile mills, cooperative organizations, indigenous banking institutions, and commercial ventures.

The movement therefore contributed to the emergence of an indigenous entrepreneurial class that later became instrumental in India's industrial development.

(d) Cooperative Marketing and Distribution Networks

Tilak recognized that production alone could not ensure economic success unless efficient marketing institutions existed.

The establishment of the Bombay Swadeshi Cooperative Store enabled local producers to reach consumers directly while reducing dependence on foreign-controlled commercial networks. This institutional innovation anticipated modern cooperative marketing systems.

(e) Promotion Through Exhibitions

Tilak also encouraged exhibitions showcasing indigenous products. These exhibitions increased public awareness regarding locally manufactured goods while improving commercial visibility for Indian producers.

Modern trade fairs, industrial expos, handicraft exhibitions, and MSME fairs organized by the Government of India closely resemble these early Swadeshi initiatives.

(f) Influence on Industrial Policy

Although the Swadeshi Movement originated during colonial rule, its philosophy substantially influenced post-independence industrial policy.

Successive Industrial Policy Resolutions (1956, 1977, 1980, 1990, 1991) emphasized:

Protection of small-scale industries

Reservation of products for village industries

Credit support

Investment subsidies

Technological modernization

Marketing assistance

Cluster development



These policy initiatives reflect the continued institutionalization of Swadeshi principles within India's developmental planning.

Comparative Perspective: Swadeshi and Contemporary Economic Policies

Swadeshi Principle

Modern Government Initiative

Indigenous production

Make in India

Self-reliance

Atmanirbhar Bharat

Local entrepreneurship

Startup India

Small industries

MSME Development Programmes

Cooperative marketing

Cooperative Societies & Producer Companies

Promotion of local goods

Vocal for Local

Indigenous innovation

Digital India & Start-up Ecosystem

This comparison demonstrates that Tilak's economic philosophy continues to influence contemporary development strategies.

Critical Analysis

While Swadeshi significantly contributed to national economic consciousness, several limitations should also be acknowledged.

First, colonial political constraints restricted the movement's capacity to transform India's industrial structure comprehensively. Second, indigenous industries often lacked technological advancement, financial capital, and economies of scale compared to British industries. Third, the movement remained stronger in urban political centres than in many rural regions.

However, despite these limitations, Swadeshi succeeded in creating an enduring culture of economic nationalism that influenced post-independence planning and contemporary industrial policies.

From the perspective of development economics, Tilak anticipated several ideas that later became central to modern economic thought, including import substitution, infant industry protection, cooperative institutions, local entrepreneurship, employment-intensive growth, and inclusive development.

Objective 3: To Evaluate the Relevance of Swadeshi in Post-Independence India's Economic Development

Following independence in 1947, India adopted a mixed economy that sought to balance state-led industrialization with the promotion of indigenous enterprise. Although the policy framework



evolved over time, the underlying philosophy of protecting and nurturing domestic industries reflected the core principles of Swadeshi. Government initiatives supporting village industries, small-scale enterprises, handloom production, handicrafts, and cooperative institutions demonstrate the enduring influence of Tilak's economic vision.

The Industrial Policy Resolutions of 1956, 1977, 1980, 1990, and 1991 introduced measures such as product reservation, investment support, technological assistance, credit facilities, and marketing incentives for small and medium enterprises. These policies institutionalized the Swadeshi objective of strengthening indigenous productive capacity.

In the twenty-first century, globalization has increased international competition while exposing vulnerabilities in global supply chains. The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the risks of excessive dependence on imported goods, especially in strategic sectors such as pharmaceuticals, medical equipment, electronics, and semiconductors. Consequently, India has renewed its emphasis on domestic manufacturing and economic resilience through programmes such as Atmanirbhar Bharat, Make in India, Startup India, Digital India, Skill India, and Vocal for Local.

These initiatives illustrate that Swadeshi has evolved from a movement of economic resistance into a strategy for sustainable national development. Modern Swadeshi emphasizes innovation, technological capability, entrepreneurship, digital transformation, green manufacturing, and participation in global value chains while retaining a strong commitment to domestic economic strength.

(a) Swadeshi in the Era of Globalization

Globalization has transformed the nature of international trade, production, and investment. While economic integration offers opportunities for growth, it also creates dependence on foreign technology, imported components, and multinational corporations.

In this context, Swadeshi should not be interpreted as economic isolationism. Rather, it represents strategic self-reliance, where domestic capabilities are strengthened while remaining integrated with the global economy.

Modern Swadeshi seeks to:

Enhance domestic manufacturing competitiveness.

Promote indigenous technological innovation.

Increase value addition within India.

Develop resilient supply chains.

Encourage research and development.

Strengthen intellectual property creation.

Expand exports of high-value products.

Thus, contemporary Swadeshi aligns closely with the concept of competitive self-reliance rather than protectionist nationalism.

**(b)Swadeshi and Sustainable Development:**

The philosophy of Swadeshi also complements the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Promotion of local industries contributes to:

Decent employment generation (SDG 8)

Industry, innovation, and infrastructure (SDG 9)

Responsible consumption and production (SDG 12)

Reduced inequalities (SDG 10)

Sustainable communities (SDG 11)

Local production reduces transportation costs, lowers carbon emissions, preserves traditional knowledge, and strengthens rural economies. Consequently, Swadeshi contributes simultaneously to economic growth, environmental sustainability, and social inclusion.

(c)Swadeshi in the Digital Economy:

The Fourth Industrial Revolution has expanded the meaning of Swadeshi beyond physical production.

Digital Swadeshi now includes:

Indigenous software development.

Digital payment ecosystems.

Artificial Intelligence applications.

Semiconductor manufacturing.

Cybersecurity infrastructure.

Indigenous cloud computing.

Digital public infrastructure.

E-commerce platforms supporting local producers.

India's success in digital governance demonstrates that technological self-reliance has become an essential dimension of contemporary economic independence.

Findings:

Based on the analysis, the study identifies the following major findings:

1. Swadeshi originated as both an economic and political movement aimed at resisting colonial economic exploitation.
2. Bal Gangadhar Tilak transformed Swadeshi into a comprehensive philosophy of economic nationalism and self-reliance.
3. The movement revitalized indigenous industries, promoted domestic entrepreneurship, and encouraged consumer participation in national development.
4. Cooperative institutions and indigenous marketing systems established during the Swadeshi Movement laid the foundation for later cooperative development.
5. India's industrial policies after independence have consistently reflected the principles of Swadeshi through support for MSMEs, village industries, and cooperative enterprises.



6. Contemporary initiatives such as Atmanirbhar Bharat and Vocal for Local represent modern expressions of Tilak's economic philosophy.
7. Swadeshi remains relevant for employment generation, sustainable industrialization, technological innovation, and national economic resilience.
8. Economic nationalism and globalization are not mutually exclusive; rather, strategic self-reliance enhances competitiveness within the global economy.

Research Gaps:

Despite significant scholarly contributions, several important gaps remain:

Limited quantitative studies measuring the long-term economic impact of the Swadeshi Movement.

Insufficient comparative research between Tilak's Swadeshi philosophy and contemporary economic nationalism across countries

Lack of empirical studies connecting Swadeshi with artificial intelligence, digital manufacturing, and Industry 4.0.

Minimal interdisciplinary research integrating economics, political science, history, public policy, and sustainability.

Limited assessment of Swadeshi's contribution to women's entrepreneurship, rural innovation, and social enterprises.

Inadequate research examining Swadeshi within the framework of climate-resilient economic development.

Recommendations for Further Research:

Future researchers may focus on:

1. Developing quantitative models to evaluate the economic impact of Swadeshi-based industrial policies.
2. Conducting comparative studies of economic nationalism in India, Japan, South Korea, China, and Germany.
3. Examining the relationship between Swadeshi and digital economic sovereignty.
4. Investigating the contribution of MSMEs to India's economic resilience under Swadeshi-inspired policies.
5. Exploring the role of artificial intelligence and indigenous innovation in strengthening economic self-reliance.
6. Assessing the contribution of Swadeshi to green manufacturing and circular economy models.
7. Evaluating the impact of Swadeshi-based entrepreneurship on rural development and poverty reduction.
8. Studying Swadeshi within the broader framework of sustainable development, ESG governance, and resilient global supply chains.

Policy Implications:

The findings of this study have important implications for policymakers, economists, development planners, and researchers.



1. Strengthening Indigenous Manufacturing

The Government of India should continue encouraging domestic manufacturing through targeted incentives for MSMEs, start-ups, and innovation should complement industrial expansion to improve global competitiveness.

Village industries, handicrafts, handlooms, and cottage enterprises continue to provide significant employment opportunities. Expanding financial inclusion, digital marketing, skill development, and infrastructure can enhance their productivity and sustainability.

3. Encouraging Technological Self-Reliance

Modern Swadeshi requires investment in artificial intelligence, semiconductor manufacturing, biotechnology, renewable energy, quantum computing, cybersecurity, and advanced manufacturing technologies. Indigenous technological capabilities will strengthen India's long-term economic sovereignty.

4. Expanding Cooperative Institutions

Tilak's emphasis on cooperative marketing remains relevant. Producer cooperatives, Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs), women's self-help groups, and digital cooperatives should receive institutional support for improving market access and income generation.

5. Integrating Swadeshi with Sustainable Development

Industrial policies should integrate economic growth with environmental sustainability by encouraging green technologies, circular economy practices, renewable energy adoption, and environmentally responsible production systems.

6. Strengthening Human Capital

Investment in education, vocational training, entrepreneurship development, digital literacy, and research institutions will enable India to transform Swadeshi from a historical philosophy into a knowledge-driven development strategy.

Limitations of the Study:

Although the study provides a comprehensive analysis, certain limitations should be acknowledged.

The research is based exclusively on secondary sources.

Quantitative statistical analysis was not undertaken.

Primary interviews with industrialists, policymakers, and entrepreneurs were beyond the scope of the study.

The research primarily focuses on Tilak's interpretation of Swadeshi and does not comprehensively examine other nationalist leaders' economic philosophies.

Contemporary policy evaluation is interpretative rather than econometric.

Future empirical studies may overcome these limitations through mixed-method and longitudinal research designs.

Conclusion

The Swadeshi Movement represents one of the most significant intellectual contributions to India's economic thought. Bal Gangadhar Tilak envisioned Swadeshi not merely as a boycott of



foreign goods but as a comprehensive philosophy of economic self-reliance, indigenous industrial development, cooperative enterprise, and national prosperity. His ideas sought to restore economic dignity to Indian producers who had been marginalized under colonial rule.

The analysis undertaken in this study demonstrates that the economic relevance of Swadeshi extends well beyond the colonial period. Independent India's industrial policies, cooperative movement, promotion of small-scale industries, and contemporary initiatives such as Atmanirbhar Bharat, Make in India, Startup India, and Vocal for Local reflect the enduring influence of Tilak's economic philosophy.

In an era characterized by globalization, technological disruption, climate change, and geopolitical uncertainty, economic resilience has become an essential component of national security. Swadeshi therefore should not be interpreted as economic isolation but as strategic self-reliance, combining indigenous capability with international competitiveness.

Furthermore, Swadeshi aligns closely with the principles of sustainable development by promoting local production, employment generation, inclusive growth, environmental responsibility, and innovation-driven industrialization. Its continued relevance demonstrates that historical economic ideas can provide meaningful guidance for addressing twenty-first-century development challenges.

Ultimately, Tilak's Swadeshi philosophy remains a timeless framework for building an economically strong, technologically advanced, socially inclusive, and globally competitive India. It continues to inspire policies that seek not only economic growth but also national confidence, resilience, and sustainable prosperity.

References

- Angadi, S. S. (1992). Bal Gangadhar Tilak: A study of his role in the Indian nationalist movement (Doctoral dissertation, Karnatak University).
- Bala, N. (2007). Economic reforms and growth of small scale industries. Deep & Deep Publications.
- Choudhary, K. C. (1978). Role of religion in Indian politics (1900–1925). Sundeep Publications.
- Gupta, R. C. (1994). The great political thinkers. Lakshmi Narain Agarwal Publications.
- Inamdar, N. R. (1993). Political thought and leadership of Lokmanya Tilak. Concept Publishing Company.
- Kaur, R. (2004). At the ragged edges of time: The legend of Tilak and the normalization of historical narratives. *South Asia Research*, 24(2), 151–168 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0262728004050108>
- Kaur, R. (2018). A study of Bal Gangadhar Tilak's political ideas with special reference to Swaraj (Doctoral dissertation, Guru Kashi University).
- Merriam-Webster. (2018). Swadeshi. <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/swadeshi>
- Ministry of Textiles, Government of India. (2018). Annual Report on Handicrafts and Handloom Sector.
- Misra, S. K., & Puri, V. K. (2016). Indian economy. Himalaya Publishing House.
- Mohanty, D. K. (1997). Indian political tradition: From Manu to Ambedkar. Anmol Publications.



Naik, J. V. (1999). Lokmanya Tilak on Karl Marx and class conflict. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 34(18), 1023–1025.

Prasad, C. S. (2004). *Small and medium enterprises in global perspective*. New Century Publications.

Seth, S. (2006). The critique of renunciation: Bal Gangadhar Tilak's Hindu nationalism. *Postcolonial Studies*, 9(2), 137–150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13688790600657819>

Cite this Article:

Rout, Dr Santosh Kumar., & Parida, Sri Goutam. (2026). *Swadeshi as a Catalyst for India's Economic Independence: Reassessing Bal Gangadhar Tilak's Economic Nationalism in the Twenty-First Century*. *The Research Dialogue*, 5(2), 208–220., Volume-05, Issue-02, July-2026, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64880/theresearchdialogue.v5i2.23>



This is an Open cess Journal / article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC BY-NC-ND 3.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. All rights reserved.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The views, findings, and opinions expressed in this publication are solely those of the author(s). The publisher and editorial team are not responsible for any errors, claims, or consequences arising from the use of the published content.





CERTIFICATE

of Publication

This Certificate is proudly presented to

Dr Santosh Kumar Rout¹, Sri Goutam Parida²

For publication of Research Paper title

**Swadeshi as a Catalyst for India's Economic
Independence: Reassessing Bal Gangadhar Tilak's
Economic Nationalism in the Twenty-First Century**

Dialogue' Peer-Reviewed / Refereed Research Journal and E-ISSN: 2583-438X,
Volume-05, Issue-02, Month July, Year-2026, Impact Factor (RPRI-4.73/ 6.79)

Dr. Lohans Kumar Kalyani
Editor- In-chief



Dr. Neeraj Yadav
Executive-In-Chief- Editor

Note: This E-Certificate is valid with published paper and the paper must be available online at: <https://theresearchdialogue.com/>
DOI : <https://doi.org/10.64880/theresearchdialogue.v5i2.23>